

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/679,566 10/06/2003		Beth A. Lange	KCC 4967 (K-C 19, 318)	3112		
321	7590 08/10/20	5	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SENNIGER	RPOWERS	MERCIER, MELISSA S				
ONE METR	OPOLITAN SQUARI R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ST LOUIS,	MO 63102		1615			
		DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/679,56	6	LANGE, BETH A.				
			Examiner		Art Unit	· <u>-</u>			
			Melissa S.	Mercier	1615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF TH 6(a). In no eve ill apply and wil cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 Ju	ne 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 June 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.								
,		ication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	ſ							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,32 and 38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-31,33-38 and 40</u> is/are rejected.								
·	_								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
•	on Papers			•					
_		. 5	_						
	The specification is objected to by the		_	7 - 63 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 46 - <b>7</b>	•				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-15-04, 9-23-04</u> .			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	O-152)			

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of (a) wipes and (b) individual container containing multiple wet wipes, each imparting the same benefit to the skin in the reply filed on 6-19-06 is acknowledged. Claims 1-40 are pending in this application, claims 10, 32, and 39 are withdrawn from consideration for reading on non elected species. Claims 1-9, 11-31, 33-38, and 40 are rejected.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

Acknowledgment is made to the Information Disclosure Statements received by the office on 1-15-04 and 9-23-04.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for protecting, does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing damage. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant has not provided a means of determining enablement for preventing any and all damage, which may occur to the skin.

Applicant is advised that should claims 1-9, and 11 be found allowable, claims 12-31 and 33-40 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial

Art Unit: 1615

duplicates thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9, 11, 12-31,and 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bogart et al. (US Patent 4,786,367) in view of Wilkman (US PGPub 2003/0106812) ,Hagopian (US Patent 6,581,775), Hammond et al. (US Patent 6,986,886) and Tomarchio et al. (EP 1 266 600 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/679,566

Art Unit: 1615

Bogart teaches "soft, absorbent, and bulky cellulosic fibrous webs which have been treated so that they impart a soothing or emollient effect to the human skin when used for wiping or drying" (column 1, lines 8-13).

Bogart does not teach multiple wipes imparting multiple benefits to the skin.

Bogart also does not teach the wipes/containers being marked to alert the user as to which wipe to use and when with number, letter, or color codes.

Additionally, Bogart does not teach the specific benefits of cleaning, maintenance, and protecting and preventing damage benefit for their wipes.

Wilkman teaches "impregnated wipes have typically been sold as a single formulary item such as a hand cleaner, a baby wipe, a suntan lotion or an insect repellant. One embodiment of Wilkman's invention specifies, the impregnated wipes are packaged as kits or collections of various related types of wipes. The collections could be according to any number of criteria. For instance a cosmetic package may consist of skin care items such as moisturizers, sun blocks, vitamin-enhanced formulas, eye make-up remover, and hand-cleaning or sanitation wipes" (paragraph 0073).

Regarding claims 7-9, 18-20 Wilkman further teaches, "a package having multiple wipes provides more than one surface to wipe with. For example, if the user wants to remove a substance from a surface, the user uses the first and outer wipe first, and when the wipe is used up, the user peels off the wipe and uses the second and inner wipe. Similarly, if the user wants to apply a substance to a surface, the user uses the wipes successively. It is contemplated that the wipes may be impregnated with the same or different formularies. For example, the wipe may be impregnated with a

Application/Control Number: 10/679,566

Art Unit: 1615

cleaning substance to clean the surface prior to applying a treatment substance with the wipe" (paragraph 0133).

Hagopian teaches a method of external genital cleansing and prophylactic kit.

"The kit includes wipes having topical microbicides, personal lubricants, sterile water or sterile water-bases solutions disposed on or impregnated in" (abstract).

"Hagopian's Claim 1 is drawn to a kit comprising:

- a. a sealed enclosure
- b. at least one condom
- c. at least one first cleansing wipe container
- d. at least one first cleansing wipe containing enclosing at least one first cleansing wipe
- e. at least one first cleansing wipe containing a first wipe solution comprised of a personal lubricant, nonirritating to mucosal tissues
  - f. at least one second cleansing wipe container
- g. at least one second cleansing wipe containing a second wipe solution comprised of nonoxynol-9 and glycerol" (column 6, lines 24-50).

Additionally, Hagopian teaches, "the genital region may be cleansed with a first wipe or second wipe or both in succession" (column 3, lines 5-20).

Regarding Claims 5-6, 16-17, and 37-38, Hagopian's kit as claimed can further include additional wipe containers and wipes" (column 6, lines 24-50).

Hammond teaches a hair care composition kit used for dying the hair, which comprises "instructions to apply the color composition t the hair and to leave the

Application/Control Number: 10/679,566

Art Unit: 1615

coloring composition on the hair and then rinse the coloring composition from the hair and to apply the hair conditioning composition to the hair in a separate step" (column 22, lines 28-33).

Tomarchio teaches a printed wet wipe with an image printed on them, which identifies the composition of the wet wipe.

Regarding Claims 2-4, 13-15, 22-24, 26-27, 29-31, and 34-36, Tomarchio defines image to include "any type of design, mark, figure, picture, identification code, words, patterns, or instructions" (paragraph 0009).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bogart, Hagopian, Wilkman, Hammond, and Tomarchio in order to obtain a system comprising numerous products into one kit. "By grouping the wipes in such configurations, the need to carry containers of various lotions and other products during an outing is eliminated" (Wilkman, paragraph 0073).

An artisan of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success that the multi-care skin care system would succeed, specifically based on the teaching of Wilkman, who describes numerous embodiments of just such kits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa S. Mercier whose telephone number is (571) 272-9039. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4pm Mon through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/679,566 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**MSMercier** 

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600